G R. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1904-05
(Muzrai)

File No. 296

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

Month——————

SUBJECT.

Passing orders on the appeal of Venkatachar late Archak of the Sri Venugopalaswami temple at Bandihalli, Kunigal Taluk against the orders accepting the resignation tendered by him for the Archak Office.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.

Muz. 8]

190 .

1904-05

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

OFFICE NOTE.

File No.

SUBJECT.

In re the prayer of one Venkatachar, late Archak of the Sri Venugopalaswamy temple at Bandihalli, Kunigal Taluk, for re-instatement in Office.

From

The Dy. Commr. Tumkur District

No. 35 Dated 9th July 1906.

(slip A)

Venkata Char, late Archak of the Sri Venugopalaswamy temple at Bandihalli in the Kunigal Taluk has appealed against the orders of the Dy. Commr. of the Tumkur Dist. accepting his resignation and appointing one Sriranga Char in his stead on the following grounds:—

1) That he had been attending to the Archak duties of the temple without any complaint from prior to the assumption by Govt of the management of the instn.

2) That he had also been the Acting Sta

of the temple for some time but that the Amildar out of Sectarian differences, appointed a Madhwa Brahmin as Stanik.

3) That, as the appointment of a Madhwa Brahmin as Stanik is contrary to mamul and as it is unholy for a Sri Vaishna Brahmin to use in the temple, vessels etc. cleaned by a Madhwa, he had to tender his resignation which was accepted by higher authorities without any enquiry;

4) That though he performformed the Sri Krishna Jayanti Seva in accordance with Sastras & mamul, the Amildar got him fined.

He therefore prays that 1) he may be restored in office 2) that the fine imposed may be remitted and 3) that some amounts due to him may be disbursed.

The appeal petition was referred for report to the Dy. Commr. of the Tumkur Distt and that ~~he~~ officer has submitted a detailed report thereon together with the Vernacular records bearing on the Subject.

From the Vern. records it is observed as follows.

Owing to a defect in the right hand
of the Archak, the Amildar recommended
his removal from the Archakship as he
was not qualified to perform Archak's
duties according to Sastras on account of
this defect. Mr. M. S. Narain Rao, the
then Dy. Commr. who visited* the temple
Slip B) declined to retain him as Archak and
informed the Amildar that he would
send orders for his immediate removal.
But before final orders were passed, the
* Slip C) petr. tendered his *resignation requesting
his relief within a week as he did not
like to continue to do the Archak duties.
This was accepted by the Amildar and
~~appointed~~ a Sri Vaishnava Brahmin
duly qualified and conversant with
Pancharatra Agama was appointed.
This proposal was sancd. by the Dy.
Commr.

The appeal is against this order.

As regards the Stanik-ship of the temple, the Amildar reports that the permanent Stanik Sesha Char being absent from duty for a very long time, (Slip D) One [illegible] Suryanarnappa was appointed to that place. The duties that are performed by the Stanik of the temple are detailed and that officer is of opinion that it is not inconsistent with Pancharatra Agama to get the Stanik duties performed by a Madhwa and states that in view to get the appointment himself, the petr. puts forward this complaint.

As regards the Sri Krishna Jayanti Seva, it is reported that the petr. failed to perform the Seva during Sravana and did it in Simha Masa contrary to mamul for which he was fined 2 Rs. In a mahazar drawn up × Slip E)

Muzrai Secretariat.	File No. of 190

on the 20th Septr. 1904, the villagers of Bandihalli state that it was usual to conduct the Sri Krishna Jayanti Sevas on Sravana Bahula Astami day but the petr. did it against mamul during Simha masa. As regards certain amounts due, it is reported that 13 ~~Rs~~ odd due to him for having performed certain Hechhukatle Sevas were paid to the petr. on the 5th June 1905 and hence no arrears are due to him. The Dy. Commr reports the foregoing points for the orders of Govt. (Paper under reference)

~~Submitted.~~ The petitioner's prayers ~~a~~ are :—

(i) About his reinstatement in Office

(ii) About ~~the~~ remitting the fine of Rs 2 imposed on him

& (iii) About the payment of

certain arrears alleged to be due to him –

As regards the first prayer, he himself admits having resigned the appointment. The resignation was accepted by the Amildar in the usual course.

In the matter of the imposition of a fine, which is the 2nd point, the mahazar of the residents of the village clearly shows that the petitioner did act against mamul in regard to the performance of Krishna Jayanti Seva, ~~and~~ the result of which ~~resulted in~~ was the fine imposed on him –

As regards the 3rd point it is reported that no arrears are

are due to him and that the sum of Rs 13-12-0 was already paid to him in June 1905.

Under these circumstances, may the petition be rejected, and an Endorsement issued to the petitioner in terms of the Dy Commr's letter?

Submitted 86 to the 2nd Councillor
5/8/06

Ks
4/8/06

I approve
6/8/06

Recd
6/8/06

Draft accly 6/8/06

File No. of 190	Muzrai Secretariat.

Muzrai Secretariat.	File No. of 190

File No. of 190	Muzrai Secretariat.

MYSORE Government
Muzrai Department.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No. 2003 M 3

The accompanying Petition No. 1640 dated 26th October 1904 received at the ~~Dewan's~~ Office from Venkatachar archak of the Sri Venugopalaswami temple at Bhandihalli in the Kunigal Taluk appealing against the order of the Dy Comr accepting his resignation and appointing Sugganahalli Srirangachar in his stead is forwarded, together with its enclosures, to the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District for favor of report and for submission of the records connected with his case.

By Order,
Dft 26/11/04
~~Deputy~~ Secretary
Muzrai Dept.

Bangalore,
3-12-1904

No: 2004
3-12-04
Ind. despr

24/11/04

MGCBP—500—19-6-02

No 3335 Emy; 3335A
Dy 5-3-05

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No.

The accompanying Petition No. 3179 ~~dated~~ without date received at this ~~Dewan's~~ Office from Venkata Char, late Archak of the Sri Venugopala Swamy temple at Bandihalli in the Kunigal taluk praying for his re-instatement in office,

is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosures,~~ to the Dy Commr Tumkur Distt, in contn of this office memo No. 2003 Dy 3d Decr 1904, with a request that the report already called for together with the records connected with the case may be submitted early.

By Order,

Draft

BANGALORE }
190 }

Muzrai Secretary

KS
28/2/05

Encls to the Petr.

MGCPB—500—31-8-01

1904/05

From
C. V. Venkata Rao Esq
Offg. Deputy Commr.
Tumkur District

To
L. Ananthaswami Rao Esq B.A
Secretary to the Govt. of H. H
The Maharaja of Mysore
Muzarai Dept

Dated 24th }
Despatched 28th } June/05

Received

General No.
No. 697

Enclosure No. One file and 2 petitions

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
4867
MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

Refees
Ex
29/6/05

With reference to Muz: Secy's official memorandum No: 2003, dated the 3rd December 1904, forwarding for report the petition of Venkatachar, late Archak of the Sri Venugopalaswamy temple at Bandihalli in the Kunigal Taluk appealing against this office order accepting his resignation and appointing a new man in his stead, undersigned has the

The honor to state that the Venkatachar had been the Archak of the temple in question from prior to the assumption by Govt. of the management of the chattram, temples and Inam villages, belonging to the charities established by the late Damodar Dass Sait, on a monthly remuneration of Rs 7/- as per scale of expenditure sanctioned in Govt. Pro No. 1360-3/ Muz 7.74-93 dated 20th June 1901.

2 Owing to a defect in the right hand of the Archak the then Amildar Mr. V. N. Guru Rao, recommended his removal from the Archakship, as owing to this defect he was not qualified to perform his duties as Archak, according to Sastras. The former Deputy Commr. Mr. M. S. Narayan Rao who visited the temple during his Jamabandi of the Kunigal Taluk, declined to retain the

the man as Archak and informed the Amildar that he would send orders for his immediate removal. But, before any final orders were passed in the matter, the petitioner tendered his resignation to the Amildar requesting his immediate relief.

3 The Amildar having in the usual course, accepted the resignation of Venkatachar and nominated in his stead one Sugganahalli Sirangachar, a Srivishnuva Brahmin duly qualified and conversant with the Pancharathra Agamas, solicited the formal sanction of the Deputy Commr. for the appointment. The proposal of the Amildar was sanctioned in June /04. The present appeal is against this order.

4 The file of Vernacular correspondence is herewith forwarded for perusal and favor of return and the 2 enclosures received with this official memoranda Nos 2003 and 3335 dated 3d December /04

and 9 · march
are returned.

N. Venkatachar
Offg. Deputy Commr.

From
The Secretary,
Muzy. Deptt

To
The Dy Commr
Tumkur Distt

Dated 11
Despatched July 1905.
Received

General No. 124
No.

Enclosure No. 1 file × 2 Petns

His docket no 697 of 24th June 05, furnishing information on the petition of Venkatachar, late Archak of the Sri Venugopala Swamy temple at Bandihalli Kunigal taluk, praying for his re-instatement in office etc

Referring to the above, has the honor to ~~observe that~~ point- out that ~~the petitioner's refd to the Dy. Commr for report that the~~ two more allegations contained in the petition ~~therein do not seem to have been fully gone into and~~ viz

the ~~[illegible]~~ Dy Commr's letter does not explain anything about the petitioners

~~also~~
ay that some
amount due to him for having
conducted certain Hachukatte
utsavams" at his cost ~~may be~~ was not
disbursed to him and that ~~the~~ a
fine was unnecessarily ~~[illegible]~~ imposed on him
though he adhered to manual
and Sastras in performing
Sri Kristnajayanti Sevas during
Simha māsa, ~~may be remitted~~. He
~~The Dy. Commr~~ is requested to
enquire into and report upon
these ~~foregoing prayers of the petr~~ points also

The Encls. to his Communication
under reply are herewith ~~forwarded~~ returned
for reference and re-submission.

By order
7/7/05
Secretary

4/7/05

Refer
JS
11/7/06

No. 182
12.7.06.

1906-07

From
K. Doraswami Iyer Esq
Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District
To
L. Anantaswami Rao Esq
Secretary to the Government of
H.H. The Maharaja of Mysore
Muzrai Department
Dated 9th }
Despatched 10th } July 1906.
Received
General No. 35
No.

Enclosure No. V P. Nil

His docket No: 124, dated the 11th July 1905, calling for additional information *in re* the appeal of Venkatachar, late Archak of the Sri Venugopalaswami temple at Bandihalli, Kunigal Taluk, against the orders accepting the resignation tendered by him for the Archak Office.

With reference to the above, the undersigned has the to state that the absented himself from Bandihalli during the month of *Sravana*, and failed to perform the *Sri Krishnajayanti sevas* in that month, but contrary to *Mamul* he performed them in the month of *Bhadrapada*, for which he was fined Rs 2/-. The petitioner says that according to Shastras the *seva* in question had to be performed in *Bhadrapada* alone as it then corresponded with *Simha masa*. But the Amildar has arrived at the conclusion that the *sevas* ought to be performed in *Sravana*.

With regard to the allegation that the cost of *hetchukatte' sevas* was not disbursed to the petitioner, the Amildar of the Kunigal Taluk reports that the petitioner was not in the habit of submitting beforehand d lls for the cost of hechukatle sevas in the months in which they were to have been performed but that he was submitting them after performing the sevas. The cost, however, of such sevas which aggregated to Rs 13-12-4 was paid to the petitioner on the 5th June 1905. Hence no arrears are now due to the petitioner.

The vernacular papers are enclosed for reference.

M. Sreenivasamurthi
for Deputy Commissioner

[illegible]

959
29.8.06

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1904 [illegible] 22 [illegible] 964 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 13 [illegible]

1905 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

By order,

Councillor's order) 9/8/06

Secretary.

960

Copy forwarded to the Dy. Commr. of the Tumkur Distt with ref. to correspondence ending with letter no. $\frac{182}{38}$ Dt 9th July 1906.

2) The encls. are herewith returned

By order,

Dft)

Secretary.